

## REMARKS

In paragraph 13 of the Office Action dated April 05, 2006, the Examiner indicated that claims 11-17 and 19-33 were allowed. Applicant cancels claims 1-10 to remove these non-allowed claims from further consideration.

In paragraph 14 of the Office Action, claim 18 was indicated to be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant amends claim 18 to be dependent on claim 16, which provides the proper antecedent basis for the term "textile substrate". In addition, the dependency of claim 18 on claim 16 limits claim 18 to the base claim and any intervening claims as required by the Examiner. Accordingly, claim 18 is now in a condition for allowance.

The amendment to claim 18 is appropriate after Final Rejection, since it does not raise any new issues that would require a further search. Instead, the amendment places claim 18 in a condition for allowance.

Applicant submits that this application is now in a condition for allowance, since the only pending claims (claims 11-33) have either been allowed or are in a condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests that this application be reconsidered and allowed.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: April 25, 2006

/David J. Oldenkamp/

David J. Oldenkamp, Reg. #29,421

HEXCEL CORPORATION

11711 Dublin Boulevard

Dublin, California 94568

Phone: 925-551-4900 x 4394

FAX: 925-828-3268